DIRECT SYNTHESIS OF FLUORINATED PEROXIDES. V. THE PREPARATION OF PENTAFLUORO-SULFURPEROXY ESTERS BY REACTIONS OF PENTAFLUOROSULFUR HYDROPEROXIDE WITH ACYL FLUORIDES

MICHAEL J. HOPKINSON AND DARRYL D. DESMARTEAU¹

Department of Chemistry, Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas, 66506, USA

SUMMARY

The reaction of SF_500H with acyl fluorides in the presence of NaF has been investigated and found to yield new peroxy esters of the type $RC(0)00SF_5$. An alternate method of syntheses using SF_500C1 and acyl chlorides is discussed and the cesium fluoride catalyzed conversion of two of the esters to $RCF(0F)00SF_5$ is described.

INTRODUCTION

Highly fluorinated peroxides represent a potentially large class of interesting compounds. These materials often exhibit high thermal stability compared to non-fluorinated analogs and provide novel examples of molecular structure. Since 1967 we have been active in the development of synthetic methods for preparation of such materials and have characterized an extensive series of peroxides containing the CF_300 - group.² The work with trifluoromethyl peroxides has convinced us that the only suitable synthetic methods are those involving the direct addition of a peroxo group to suitable substrates. The recent synthesis of SF_500H , ^{3,4} SF_500Cl^4 and SF_500F^4 allows us to greatly extend the known examples of pentafluorosulfurperoxy compounds, which previously existed only as isolated examples in the literature.⁵

We have recently synthesized a variety of new pentafluorosulfurperoxyalkyl derivatives by the addition of SF_500C1 to olefins⁶ and in this paper we report the preparation of several new pentafluorosulfurperoxy esters. These compounds are prepared in high yield by the displacement of fluoride from acyl fluorides with SF_500 . Reactions of SF_500H with $SF_500C(0)F$, $CH_3C(0)F$, $CF_3C(0)F$, $C_2F_5C(0)F$, and $[FC(0)CF_2]_2CF_2$ are described. In addition, an alternate method for the preparation of $CH_3C(0)00SF_5$ is discussed as well as the conversion of $SF_500C(0)F$ and $(SF_500)_2C0$ to the corresponding fluoroxy compounds.

EXPERIMENTAL

General

All compounds were handled in Pyrex or stainless steel vacuum systems equipped with glass-Teflon or stainless steel valves. Pressures were measurewith a Wallace and Tiernan differential pressure gauge. Ir spectra were obtained on a Perkin-Elmer model 180 spectrometer using a 10-cm pathlength glass cell fitted with silver chloride windows. Nmr spectra were recorded on a Var: XL-100-15 nmr spectrometer using \sim 80 mole % CCl₃F solutions of the samples. AB₄ spin systems were analyzed with the acid of the LAOCOON 3 computer program Vapor pressures were determined by a static method employing the isotensiscop principle. ⁸ Equations describing vapor pressure as a function of temperature were obtained by a least-squares fit of the data.

Reagents

The acid fluorides $CH_3C(0)F$, $CF_3C(0)F$, and $C_2F_5C(0)F$ were obtained from P.C.R. Inc.

Perfluoroglutaryl fluoride was prepared by fluorination of $CF_2[CF_2C(0)C$ with SbF_3/Cl_2 at 150°. The $SF_5OOC(0)F^{1,3,4}$, $SF_5OOH^{3,4}$ and SF_5OOCl^4 were prepby literature methods.

Reaction of Pentafluorosulphur Peroxides with Acid Fluorides. Reactions betw SF_500H and RC(0)F were carried out in a 100 ml Pyrex bulb, fitted with a glas Teflon valve, containing 10 g of dry sodium fluoride. Stoichiometric amounts of SF_500H and the acid fluoride, ~ 2 mmol, were condensed into the bulb and t mixture allowed to warm slowly from -78 to 22°. This minimized the decomposi of SF_500H over NaF, known to occur at room temperature. The products were separated by trap-to-trap distillation. While no explosions occurred during this work, fluorinated peroxides can detonate under mechanical or thermal shoc Precautions should be taken when handling these compounds.

The reaction of $CH_3C(0)C1$ with SF_5OOC1 was carried out in a 100 ml glass reactor by condensing SF_5OOC1 onto an excess of $CH_3C(0)C1$ at -196° and then holding the mixture at -78° for 24 hr. The product, $CH_3C(0)OOSF_5$, was collec by distilling the reaction mixture through a trap held at -63°.

The conversion of $SF_500C(0)F$ and $(SF_500)_2C0$ to SF_500CF_20F and $(SF_500)_2CF(0)$ was carried out in a 75 ml ss reactor. The carbonyl derivative was condensed

onto 15 g of dry, powdered CsF at -196° and excess F_2 added. The reaction was then held at -78° for 24 hr and the product collected by pumping through traps held at -78° as the reactor warmed to 22°.

A summary of the reactions is given in Table 1 and details for the compounds follow.

TABLE 1

Summary of SF500- Reactions

Reactants ^a	Conditions	SF ₅ 00- Product		
СH ₃ C(0)F, 2.0; SF ₅ 00H, 1.7 ^b	-196 to 22°, 4 hr	CH ₃ C(0)00SF ₅ , 70%		
СF ₃ C(0)F, 2.7; SF ₅ 00H, 1.5 ^b	-78 to -5°, 16 hr	CF3C(0)00SF5, 90%		
C ₂ F ₅ C(0)F, 2.0; SF ₅ 00H, 1.9 ^b	-78 to -15°, 17 hr	$C_{2}F_{5}C(0)OOSF_{5}, 84\%$		
CF ₂ [CF ₂ C(0)F] ₂ , 1.8; SF ₅ 00H, 1.8 ^b	-78 to -15°, 24 hr	$F(0)CCF_{2}CF_{2}CF_{2}C(0)OOSF_{5}, 82\%$		
CF ₂ [CF ₂ C(0)F] ₂ , 0.9; SF ₅ 00H, 1.9 ^b	-78 to -10°, 24 hr	$CF_{2}[CF_{2}C(0)OOSF_{5}]_{2}, 86\%$		
-	22°, 2d	2 2 3 2		
CH ₃ C(0)C1, 5.2; SF ₅ 00C1, 2.8	-78°, 24 hr	CH ₃ C(0)00SF ₅ , 90%		
$SF_{5}^{00C}(0)F, 1.7; F_{2}^{2}, 2.3^{C}$	-78°, 24 hr	SF500CF20F, 86%		
$(\text{SF}_{5}^{00})_{2}^{\text{CO}}, 0.5; \text{F}_{2}^{\text{CO}}, 0.8^{\text{CO}}$	-78°, 24 hr	(SF ₅ 00) ² CFOF, 60%		

a. Amounts in mmol.

b. Reaction run with 10 g of NaF.

c. Reaction run with 15 g of CsF.

 $(SF_5^{OO})_2^{CO.}$ 50% yield. Bp 108.6°; mp-45.5° to -46.5°; mol wt 345.4, calcd 346.1; ir 1900 (m, C=O), 1880 (m, C=O), 1188(m), 1130(s), 932(vs, SF), 890(s, SF), 868(vs, SF), 740(m, sh), 723(m), 637(w), 611(s, sh), 602(vs, δSF_5), 592(s, sh), 491(w); n.m.r. AB₄ pattern $\phi_A^* = -57.3$, $\phi_B^* = -55.9$, $J_{AB} = 153.3$ Hz, $J/\delta = 1.16$; AH_{vap} 9.10 kcal/mol; ΔS_{vap} 23.8 eu; log P(mm) = 8.0898-1988.4/T.

$$\begin{split} & \text{SF}_500C(0)\text{CH}_3. \ 85\% \text{ yield. Bp 104.2; mp -55.6°; mol wt 201.0, calcd 202.1;} \\ & \text{ir 1842(s, C=0), 1370(m), 1185(w, sh), 1160(s), 972(w), 924(vs, SF), 879 (VS, SF), \\ & 823(s, SF), 738(w), 661(w), 604(s, & \&F_5), 590(m, sh), 500(vw), 483(vw, sh); \\ & \text{nmr AB}_4 \text{ pattern } \phi_A^{\star} = -62.4, & \phi_B^{\star} = -50.2, & J_{AB} = 156.6 \text{ Hz}, & J/\delta = 0.13, & \delta = -2.36(s); \\ & \Delta H_{vap} & 8.68 \text{ kcal/mol; } \Delta S_{vap} & 23.0 \text{ eu; } \log_{10} P(\text{mm}) = 7.9054-1895.0/T. \end{split}$$

 $SF_500C(0)CF_3$. 90% yield. Bp 45.8°; mp (glassed at low temperature); mol wt 256.0, calcd 256.1; ir 1861(s, C=0), 1300(m), 1239(s), 1201(s), 1064(s), 928(vs, SF), 886(vs, SF), 855(s, SF), 747(m), 729(w), 604(s, δSF_5), 593(m, sh), 520(w),

504(w); nmr AB₄ pattern $\phi_A^* = -57.9$, $\phi_B^* = -56.7$, $J_{AB} = 154.8$ Hz, $J/\delta = 1.37$, $\phi^*(CF_3) = 171.8(s)$; $\Delta H_{vap} = 6.70$ kcal/mol; $\Delta S_{vap} = 21.0$ eu; $\log_{10} P(mm) = 7.4747-1465.3/T$.

$$\begin{split} & {\rm SF}_5 {\rm OOC}(0) {\rm C}_2 {\rm F}_5. & 84\% \ {\rm yield.} & {\rm Bp} \ 60.0; \ {\rm mp-61.0}^\circ \ {\rm to} \ 62.5^\circ; \ {\rm mol} \ {\rm wt} \ 304.4, \ ca\\ & 306.1; \ {\rm ir} \ 1851({\rm s}, {\rm C=0}), \ 1338({\rm m}), \ 1254({\rm m}, \ {\rm sh}), \ 1236({\rm s}), \ 1197({\rm m}, \ {\rm sh}), \ 1108({\rm m}), \\ & 1000({\rm m}), \ 988({\rm m}, \ {\rm sh}), \ 927({\rm vs}, \ {\rm SF}), \ 879({\rm vs}, \ {\rm SF}), \ 837({\rm m}, \ {\rm sh}, \ {\rm SF}), \ 802({\rm w}), \ 750({\rm s}) \\ & {\rm A} \ {\rm B} \ {\rm C} \ {\rm D} \\ & {\rm A} \ {\rm B} \ {\rm C} \ {\rm D} \\ & 726({\rm w}, \ {\rm sh}), \ 605({\rm s}, \ 6{\rm SF}_5), \ 589({\rm w}, \ {\rm sh}), \ 551({\rm vw}); \ {\rm nmr} \ {\rm FSF}_4 {\rm OOC}(0) {\rm CF}_2 {\rm CF}_3 \ {\rm AB}_4 \ {\rm patt} \\ & {\rm unresolved}, \ {\rm major} \ {\rm peak} \ {\rm at} \ {\rm \phi}_{\rm C}^* = +119.8, \ {\rm \phi}_{\rm D}^* = +82.9, \ {\rm J}_{\rm CD} = 2.0 \ {\rm Hz}, \\ {\rm AH}_{\rm vap} \ 8.23 \ {\rm kcal/mol}; \ {\rm AS}_{\rm vap} \ 24.7 \ {\rm eu}; \ {\rm log}_{10} \ {\rm P(mm)} = 8.2786-1798.4/T. \\ & {\rm SF}_5 {\rm OOC}(0) {\rm CF}_2 {\rm CF}_2 {\rm CF}_2 {\rm C}(0) {\rm F}. \ 82\% \ {\rm yield}. \ {\rm Bp} \ 116.6^\circ; \ {\rm mp}({\rm glassed} \ {\rm at} \ {\rm low} \ {\rm temps} \\ \end{split}$$

SF₅00C(0)CF₂CF₂CF₂C(0)F. 82% yield. Bp 116.6°; mp(glassed at low tempe ature); mol wt 382.8, calcd 384.1; ir 1888(s, C=0), 1853(s, C=0), 1318(w, sh) 1276(m, sh), 1208(s), 1147(s), 1097(ms), 1066(m, sh), 1024(m), 932(vs, SF), 893(m, sh, SF), 875(vs, SF), 822(m), 738(w), 718(w), 650(w), 606(s, δ SF₅), A B C D E F 591(m, sh); nmr FSF₄00C(0)CF₂CF₂CF₂CC(0)F AB₄ pattern unresolved, major peak a $\phi^* = -56.2, \phi^*_{C} = +116.8(m), \phi^*_{D} = +123.7(d), \phi^*_{E} = +118.6 (d,t), \phi^*_{F} = -23.6(t,t) J_{CF} = 1.6 Hz, J_{DF} = 6.4 Hz, J_{EF} = 7.5 Hz; \Delta H_{vap} 9.38 kcal/mole, \Delta S_{vap} 24.1 et log₁₀P(mm) = 8.1410-2040.4/T.$

 $[SF_{5}OOC(0)CF_{2}]_{2}CF_{2}. \ 86\% \ yield, \ \sim 2 \ mm \ vapor \ pressure \ at \ 22^{\circ}; \ mp(glassed \ a low temperature); \ mol \ wt \ 516.3, \ calcd \ 524.2; \ ir \ 1853(s, \ C=0), \ 1210(s), \ 1188(m \ 1130(s), \ 1092(w), \ 965(w, \ sh), \ 942(vs, \ SF), \ 886(vs, \ SF), \ 869(vs, \ SF) \ 840(m), \ A \ B \ C \ D \ 812(w), \ 746(w), \ 6^{\circ}3(vs \ \delta SF_{5}), \ 592(m, \ sh); \ nmr[FSF_{4}OOC(0)CF_{2}]_{2}CF_{2}, \ AB_{4} \ pattern \ unresolved, \ major \ peak \ at \ \phi \ -56.8, \ \phi \ c \ = +116.1(s), \ \phi \ p \ = +122.6(s).$

 SF_5OOCF_2OF . 90% yield. Mol wt 244.5, calcd 244.1; ir 1295(m), 1269(s), 1245(s), 1208(s), 1175(s), 950(m, sh), 935(vs, SF), 882(vs, SF), 808(W), 751(698(w), 658(vw), 635(w, sh), 609 (s, δSF_5), 590(sh), 560(w); nmr F^ASF₄^BOOCF₂ AB⁴ pattern unresolved, major peak at $\phi^* = -56.4$, $\phi^*_C = 80.2$, $\phi^*_D = -156.8$, J_{AC} $J_{BC} \approx 3.8$, $J_{CD} = 33.8$ Hz.

 $(SF_500)_2$ CFOF:~ 60% yield. Mol. wt. 385.2, calcd 384.1; ir 1260(s), 1168 1022 (w), 934 (vs, SF), 890 (s, SF), 871 (vs, SF), 746 (m), 606 (s, δ SF₅), 596 (m, sh), 574 (w, sh); nmr (F^ASF^B₄OO)₂CF^COF^D AB₄ pattern unresolved, major peak at $\phi^* = -57.1$, $\phi^*_{C} = 90.8$, $\phi^*_{D} = -169.6$, $J_{CD} = 20.0$, $J_{BC} \simeq 4$ Hz.

RESULTS

Pentafluorosulfur hydroperoxide undergoes reaction with a variety of acy. fluorides to form pentafluorosulfurperoxy esters in high yield. These new O-F compounds are unusual as only a few compounds of this type, containing both peroxy and O-F linkages in the same molecule, are known⁵. Neither $\text{SF}_500\text{CF}_20\text{F}$ or $(\text{SF}_500)_2\text{CFOF}$ were studied in much detail and the latter has only limited stability at 22°, decomposing according to the following equation.

 $(SF_500)_2CFOF \rightarrow SF_500C(0)F + SF_6 + 0_2$

These products suggest that SF_500F^4 may be an intermediate in the reaction. The unusually low value for Ø*OF of the bisperoxy derivative is also noteworth

The ease of formation of the OF derivatives suggests that several of the other esters, excluding $CH_3C(0)OOSF_5$, can be similarly converted to the fluore compounds. In the course of these and other reactions, it was found that both $(SF_500)_2CO$ and $SF_50OC(0)F$ are unstable in the presence of CsF at temperatures appreciably greater than -78° . For $SF_50OC(0)F$, the following reaction occurs.

 $SF_500C(0)F + 2CsF \rightarrow CsOSF_5 + CsOCF_3 + 1/2 0_2$

DISCUSSION

The new pentafluorosulfurperoxy esters increase the number of known compo of this type from one to seven. Only $SF_500S(0)F^9$ was previously known, illustrating the lack of generality for free racical coupling reactions in the synt of fluorinated peroxides. It can be readily assumed, based on the examples in this work, that the reaction of SF_500H with acyl fluorides has wide applicability in the synthesis of this class of peroxides. The use of SF_500C1 is probably also of general applicability, although because of its greater reactivity and because of its instability, it would offer little advantage. However, this reaction suggests an obvious pathway for the preparation of pent. fluorosulfuroxy esters of the type RC(0)OSF₅.

Pentafluorosulfur hypochlorite SF_5OC1^{12} , is quite analogous to SF_5OC1 in its reaction chemistry. Because SF_5OH does not exist, the preparation of These new O-F compounds are unusual as only a few compounds of this type, containing both peroxy and O-F linkages in the same molecule, are known⁵. Neither $\text{SF}_500\text{CF}_20\text{F}$ or $(\text{SF}_500)_2\text{CFOF}$ were studied in much detail and the latter has only limited stability at 22°, decomposing according to the following equation.

 $(SF_500)_2CFOF \rightarrow SF_500C(0)F + SF_6 + 0_2$

These products suggest that SF_500F^4 may be an intermediate in the reaction. The unusually low value for Ø*OF of the bisperoxy derivative is also noteworth

The ease of formation of the OF derivatives suggests that several of the other esters, excluding $CH_3C(0)OOSF_5$, can be similarly converted to the fluore compounds. In the course of these and other reactions, it was found that both $(SF_500)_2CO$ and $SF_50OC(0)F$ are unstable in the presence of CsF at temperatures appreciably greater than -78° . For $SF_50OC(0)F$, the following reaction occurs.

 $SF_500C(0)F + 2CsF \rightarrow CsOSF_5 + CsOCF_3 + 1/2 0_2$

DISCUSSION

The new pentafluorosulfurperoxy esters increase the number of known compo of this type from one to seven. Only $SF_500S(0)F^9$ was previously known, illustrating the lack of generality for free racical coupling reactions in the synt of fluorinated peroxides. It can be readily assumed, based on the examples in this work, that the reaction of SF_500H with acyl fluorides has wide applicability in the synthesis of this class of peroxides. The use of SF_500C1 is probably also of general applicability, although because of its greater reactivity and because of its instability, it would offer little advantage. However, this reaction suggests an obvious pathway for the preparation of pent. fluorosulfuroxy esters of the type RC(0)OSF₅.

Pentafluorosulfur hypochlorite SF_5OC1^{12} , is quite analogous to SF_5OC1 in its reaction chemistry. Because SF_5OH does not exist, the preparation of $\rm SF_50$ esters can not be accomplished in the same way as $\rm SF_500$ esters. However, the use of $\rm SF_50C1$ in oxidative displacement reaction of the type

 $SF_5OC1 + RC(0)C1 \rightarrow C1_2 + RC(0)OSF_5$ is suggested by this work. At present, $FC(0)OSF_5^9$ is the only known compound of this type.

It is interesting to speculate on the mechanism of the reaction of SF_500H with acyl fluorides. As with earlier studies of CF_300H , the reaction is catalyzed by NaF¹³. While there seems to be little data available on the mechanism of substitution reactions of acyl fluorides, especially perfluoroacyl fluorides, we believe sufficient data are available to suggest a plausible pathway¹⁴. The pronounced tendency of perfluoroketones and aldehydes to undergo addition reactions with active hydrogen compounds¹⁵, probably extends to perfluoroacyl fluorides. However, the subsequent elimination of HF from the latter is expected to be favorable and base catalyzed. Indeed, the hydrolysis of $SF_500C(0)F$ and $CF_300C(0)F$ provide evidence for this. These reactions are relatively slow with excess water and an appreciable intermediate concentration of $(SF_500)_2C0$ and $(CF_300)_2C0$ form in each case, suggesting the following reaction sequence, illustrated here for $SF_500C(0)F$.

 $sF_{5}^{00C(0)F + H_{2}0} \neq sF_{5}^{00CF(0H)} \xrightarrow{\text{base}} sF_{5}^{00H + C0} + HF \qquad (1)$ $sF_{5}^{00C(0)F + sF_{5}^{00H}} \neq (sF_{5}^{00})_{2}^{CF(0H)} \xrightarrow{\text{base}} (sF_{5}^{00})_{2}^{C0 + HF} \qquad (2)$ slow

 $(SF_500)_2C0 + H_20 \neq (SF_500)_2C(0H)_2 \rightarrow SF_500H + CO_2$ (3) In the hydrolysis of $SF_500C(0)F$, glass functions as the base forcing the equilibrium to the right. Reaction (2) is fast in the presence of a strong base such as NaF and somewhat slower than (1) in the presence of glass. Reaction (3) is slower than both (1) and (2). In the hydrolysis of both $CF_300C(0)F$ and $SF_500C(0)F$, complete conversion to R_f00H is difficult. After removal of CO_2 and R_f00H after a given reaction time, on standing further, additional CO_2 and R_f00H are obtained. Examination of the vapor in equilibrium with the excess water always reveals $(R_f00)_2C0$. In our opinion, the reactions of ${\rm R}_{\rm f}{\rm OOH}$ with acyl fluorides proceeds in a similar manner, as shown for SF_OOH.

$$SF_{5}OOH + R_{f}C(0)F \neq R_{f}CF(OH)OOSF_{5}$$
$$R_{f}CF(OH)OOSF_{5} + NaF \rightarrow R_{f}C(0)OOSF_{5} + NaF \cdot HF$$

The base catalysis by NaF is thus viewed as favoring the addition-elimination reaction by promoting the loss of HF, rather than an initial interaction of N_i with SF₅00H to enhance the nucleophilicity of SF₅00H.

The ¹⁹F nmr results for the new esters, along with data for other SF_500 compounds, provide an interesting comparison of the effects of various groups J/ δ for the AB₄ pattern of the SF₅ groups as shown in Table II.

TABLE 2

 $^{19}\mathrm{F}$ nmr of the AB_4 Spin System in SF_00- Derivatives.

Compound	ø* A	Ø*B	J _{AB}	3\L
CH ₃ C(0)00SF ₅ ^a	-62.4	-50.2	156.6	0.13
CF ₃ C(0)00SF ₅ ^a	-57.9	-56.7	154.8	1.37
(SF ₅ 00) ₂ C0 ^a	-57.3	-55.9	153.3	1.16
fc(0)005f ₅ 9	-57.7	-56.3	156.0	1,11
C1CH2CH200SF56	-63.6	-45.6	155.0	0.086
sf500sf5 ¹⁶	-56.7	-57.3	∿150	2.5
HOOSF54	-62.5	-51.8	155.7	0.15
C1005F54	-58.8	-56.3	155.0	0.60
FS0200SF5 ^{16,b}	∿58	∿60	155	1.83
CF300SF516,c	∿ ~-5 7.3	∿-56.0	155	2.86

a. This work.

b. A value of \emptyset^* -43 was assumed for $\mathrm{SF}_5\mathrm{OOSO}_2\mathrm{F}$ as the authors in ref. 16 only reported chemical shifts relative to the basal fluorines of the SF_5 group.

c. No standard was given for this compound in ref. 16 so \emptyset * values were calcul: assuming \emptyset * CF₃ = 69.0 This assumption should be quite good as \emptyset * CF₃00 occurs over only a very narrow range.²

508

These data clearly indicate a trend in \emptyset_A^* and \emptyset_B^* as function of the electronagativity of the group attached to SF₅00. The \emptyset_A^* values move to lower field and \emptyset_B^* values to higher field as the electronegativity decreases. These opposing trends would indicate that any simple explanation for this is probably better left unstated at the present time. Similar trends are observed for SF₅0derivatives, but in these compounds the variation in \emptyset_B^* covers a much greater range and \emptyset_B^* is frequently more negative than \emptyset_A^* .¹⁶

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The partial support of this work by the National Science Foundation and the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES AND FOOTNOTES

- 1 Alfred P. Sloan Fellow, 1975-77.
- P. A. Bernstein, F. A. Hohorst and D. D. DesMarteau, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>93</u>, 3882 (1971). P. A. Bernstein and D. D. DesMarteau, J. Fluorine Chem., <u>2</u>, 315 (1972-73). F. A. Hohorst, D. D. DesMarteau, L. R. Anderson, D. E. Gould and W. B. Fox, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>95</u>, 3866 (1973). F. A. Hohorst and D. D. DesMarteau. Inorg. Chem., <u>13</u>, 715 (1974). F. A. Hohorst, J. V. Paukstelis and D. D. DesMarteau, J. Org. Chem., <u>39</u>, 1298 (1974). N. Walker and D. D DesMarteau, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>97</u>, 13 (1975). F. A. Hohorst and D. D.
 DesMarteau, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., H. H. Hyman Memorial Issue, in press.
 D. D. DesMarteau, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>94</u>, 8933 (1972).
- 4 D. D. DesMarteau and R. M. Hammaker, manuscript in preparation.

- 6 M. J. Hopkinson, N. Walker and D. D. DesMarteau, J. Am. Chem. Soc., submitted for publication.
- 7 A. A. Bothner-By and S. M. Castellano in "Computer Programs for Chemistry Vol. 1, D. F. Detar, Ed., W. A. Benjamin, New York, 1968, p. 10.
- 8 A. Smith and A. W. C. Menzies, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>32</u>, 897 (1910).
- 9 R. G. Czerepinski and G. H. Cady, ibid., 90, 3954 (1968).
- 10 M. Lustig, A. R. Pitochelli and J. K. Ruff, ibid., 2841 (1967).
- 11 \emptyset *OF values for carbon compounds are nearly always at \sim -155 ± 5. The most closely related compound to $(SF_500)_2$ CFOF is $(CF_300)_2$ CFOF and the chemical shift and J_{FF} are nearly identical for the two. R. L. Talbott, J. Org. Chem., <u>33</u>, 2095 (1968).
- D. E. Gould. L. R. Anderson, D. E. Young and W. B. Fox. J. Am. Chem. Soc. <u>91</u>, 1310 (1969). C. J. Schack, R. D. Wilson, J. S. Muirhead and S. N. Cohz, ibid., <u>91</u>, 2906 (1969). L. R. Anderson, D. E. Young, D. E. Gould, R. Juurik-Hogan, D. Neuchterlein and W. B. Fox, J. Org. Chem., <u>35</u>, 3730 (1970) and refs. therein.
- 13 refs. 2, no. 1.
- 14 A Kivinen in "The Chemistry of Acyl Halides," S. Patai, Ed., John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1972, p. 177. R. D. Chambers, "Fluorine in Organic Chemistry," John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1973, p. 97.
- 15 W. A. Sheppard and C. M. Sharts, "Organic Fluorine Chemistry," W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1969, p. 437.
- 16 C. I. Merrill, S. M. Williamson, G. H. Cady and D. F. Eggers, Jr., Inorg. Chem., <u>1</u>, 215 (1962).